UDC 330. 37.07 DOI: https://doi.org/10.32782/2413-9971/2025-54-16

> Mykhailov Stanislav Postgraduate Student National University of Water and Environmental Engineering

> > Михайлов С.В. аспірант Національного університету водного господарства та природокористування

## STATE REGULATION OF RISKY ECONOMIC SECTORS FOR ECONOMIC STABILITY AND GROWTH

**Summary.** The regulation of risky economic sectors is a key instrument for ensuring macroeconomic stability, mitigating crises, and fostering sustainable development. This study explores the theoretical and methodological foundations of state intervention, examining global regulatory frameworks and their applicability to Ukraine. It highlights the need for a balanced regulatory approach that integrates market mechanisms with government oversight. Special attention is given to digital transformation, emphasizing big data analytics, AI-driven compliance, and blockchain for financial transparency. Findings suggest that independent regulatory bodies, adaptive policies, and international cooperation play a crucial role in enhancing resilience, minimizing economic volatility, and ensuring long-term growth.

Keywords: state regulation, risky sectors, economic crisis, crisis management, de-shadowing, macroeconomic stability.

The modern economy is characterized by dynamic changes and periodic crises that necessitate active government intervention. In the face of global challenges, state regulation becomes a key tool for stabilizing economic processes, ensuring macroeconomic equilibrium, and minimizing risks. Risky economic sectors, in particular, require significant attention due to their profound influence on the country's socio-economic development.

**Problem statement.** The regulation of risky economic sectors remains a crucial challenge for policymakers worldwide. These sectors, characterized by high volatility and susceptibility to crises, often become catalysts for macroeconomic instability, financial turmoil, and social discontent. The lack of effective regulation can lead to widespread financial mismanagement, increased levels of corruption, and the expansion of shadow economies.

Furthermore, traditional regulatory frameworks often fail to address the rapid evolution of these sectors, particularly in the digital era, where financial transactions and business models are becoming more complex. This necessitates a dynamic approach that integrates advanced monitoring tools, international best practices, and adaptive regulatory mechanisms to mitigate risks and promote economic resilience.

Analysis of recent research and publications. Recent studies on state regulation of risky economic sectors have highlighted the growing complexity of managing financial instability and structural imbalances. Various scholars have explored the effectiveness of regulatory measures in mitigating economic crises and stabilizing market fluctuations. For instance, Keynes J.M. [5] emphasized the role of government intervention in restoring economic equilibrium, while Stiglitz Joseph E. [3] analyzed the impacts of globalization on regulatory frameworks.

Empirical research suggests that countries with adaptive regulatory models tend to recover faster from economic downturns. Studies conducted by EGBA [1] OECD and IMF indicate that financial transparency, robust monitoring mechanisms, and data-driven policy decisions contribute to greater economic resilience [2]. Furthermore, according to the EGBA, digital transformation in regulation, as observed in Germany and South Korea, has been instrumental in preventing financial fraud and increasing regulatory efficiency [2].

A growing body of studies like JUMIO [4], The National Council on Problem Gambling [7] also examines the intersection between economic opportunities and social responsibility in industries characterized by high volatility. For example, research on the gambling sector underscores the importance of responsible regulation to balance economic growth with potential social costs [7]. The EGBA has explored the effectiveness of different regulatory approaches, highlighting best practices for mitigating risks associated with high-risk industries [1]. These studies by JUMIO [4] suggest that targeted interventions, such as self-exclusion programs, stake limits, and transparent consumer protection policies, can play a crucial role in ensuring market stability while safeguarding vulnerable populations.

Technological advancements further complicate regulatory frameworks, with innovations such as blockchain, artificial intelligence, and digital payment systems altering the way financial transactions are conducted. Recent studies conducted by The National Council on Problem Gambling argue that while these innovations create new economic opportunities, they also present significant regulatory challenges, including cross-border enforcement, jurisdictional arbitrage, and the need for internationally coordinated oversight [7].

Despite these advancements, challenges remain in the implementation of efficient regulatory strategies. The integration of international best practices with national policies continues to be a subject of debate, especially in emerging economies where institutional capacities vary. Furthermore, there is a lack of comprehensive and universally applicable research assessing the long-term impact of digital innovations on financial stability and consumer behavior.

Addressing these gaps requires continued empirical research and policy experimentation to refine best practices and improve regulatory efficiency. By integrating insights from recent academic studies, economic trends, and technological developments, policymakers can develop adaptive frameworks that balance innovation with risk mitigation, ensuring sustainable economic growth in volatile sectors.

Identification of previously unresolved aspects of the general problem. Despite the extensive body of research on state regulation of risky economic sectors, several unresolved aspects remain. Existing studies primarily focus on traditional regulatory mechanisms, but there is a lack of comprehensive analysis regarding the adaptability of these measures to rapidly changing economic conditions and technological advancements. Additionally, while international best practices are widely discussed, the extent to which these approaches can be effectively localized and implemented in emerging economies, such as Ukraine, remains underexplored.

Another critical gap is the role of digital transformation in regulatory frameworks. Although technological innovations are increasingly utilized for financial monitoring and fraud prevention, there is limited research on their long-term impact on economic stability and regulatory efficiency. Furthermore, the interplay between state intervention and private sector self-regulation in mitigating economic risks remains a contested issue, requiring further empirical investigation.

**Formulation of the article's objectives.** The primary objective of this article is to establish a comprehensive understanding of the theoretical and methodological foundations of state regulation in risky economic sectors. It aims to analyze the role of government intervention in stabilizing macroeconomic processes and mitigating socio-economic threats. The goal of this article is to define the theoretical and methodological foundations of state regulation of risky economic sectors, analyze key approaches to crisis management, and evaluate the effectiveness of regulatory mechanisms. Furthermore, the article seeks to assess international regulatory practices and explore their applicability to Ukraine's economic framework.

**Presentation of the main material.** A comprehensive understanding of the regulation of risky economic sectors requires an analysis of the

fundamental mechanisms influencing these industries. This section discusses key regulatory approaches, crisis management tools, and the integration of modern technologies in the oversight of high-risk economic activities.

Economic crises have historically posed significant challenges to national economies, often leading to financial instability, increasing unemployment rates, currency devaluation, and budgetary deficits. The nature of these crises varies, but they can generally be categorized into external and internal factors. External crises are typically triggered by global economic downturns, trade disruptions, financial shocks, and geopolitical conflicts, while internal crises stem from ineffective governance, corruption, structural economic imbalances, and weak regulatory policies.

One of the most critical aspects of economic stability is the resilience of risky sectors, which include industries that are highly volatile and susceptible to market fluctuations. These sectors, such as finance, energy, and emerging digital markets, require robust regulatory mechanisms to mitigate risks and sustain economic growth. Without appropriate regulatory frameworks, these industries can contribute to severe financial collapses, leading to widespread economic downturns.

Government intervention plays a crucial role in ensuring macroeconomic stability by implementing various fiscal, monetary, and institutional strategies. Fiscal policies, including tax incentives, government subsidies, and targeted public investments, are often deployed to stimulate economic activity and protect vulnerable industries during periods of instability [7]. Monetary policies, such as interest rate adjustments and credit regulations, are used to control inflation and maintain liquidity within financial markets. Additionally, institutional reforms, including anticorruption measures, improved governance structures, and enhanced financial oversight, contribute to the long-term resilience of risky sectors.

Historical evidence suggests that economies that implement proactive regulatory policies tend to recover more rapidly from crises. For example, during the 2008 global financial crisis, countries with strong regulatory frameworks and active government oversight were able to mitigate economic shocks and stabilize financial markets more effectively than those with minimal intervention. Similarly, in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, governments worldwide implemented stimulus packages, business relief programs, and regulatory adjustments to prevent economic collapse and support affected industries [1].

Governments employ a variety of tools to stabilize high-risk industries during periods of economic instability. These include:

One of the most effective tools used worldwide is tax incentives and subsidies, which provide financial relief to businesses in vulnerable sectors. For example, during the 2008 global financial crisis, the U.S. government introduced extensive bailout packages under the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP), which helped stabilize major financial institutions and prevent systemic collapse. Similarly, Germany provided direct state subsidies to industries affected by the crisis, ensuring economic continuity [4].

Another widely used mechanism is regulatory sandboxes, allowing controlled experimentation with innovative business models under state supervision. The United Kingdom pioneered this approach through its Financial Conduct Authority (FCA), which introduced a regulatory sandbox enabling fintech startups to test new financial products in a monitored environment. This approach has since been adopted in countries like Singapore, Australia, and Canada, helping to facilitate innovation while maintaining financial stability.

Public-private partnerships (PPP) play a crucial role in fostering collaboration between state institutions and private enterprises to ensure stability and compliance. For instance, in the European Union, PPPs have been leveraged to strengthen economic resilience through joint investment projects in infrastructure and energy sectors. South Korea successfully utilized PPPs during the 1997 Asian financial crisis to rebuild its banking sector, ensuring better regulatory practices and financial discipline.

Additionally, governments implement emergency liquidity support through central banks. The European Central Bank (ECB) and the Federal Reserve in the U.S. have historically intervened by injecting liquidity into financial markets during crises. For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, the Federal Reserve deployed aggressive monetary policies, including lowinterest rates and direct financial support, to maintain market stability [4].

Another crisis management tool is debt restructuring programs, where governments help businesses manage financial burdens to avoid bankruptcies. Argentina, for example, restructured its sovereign debt multiple times to maintain economic stability and prevent financial collapse. Similar approaches were taken in Greece and Portugal during the Eurozone crisis to stabilize their banking systems and restore investor confidence.

These tools contribute to the development of a more resilient regulatory system, ensuring timely intervention in case of financial instability and minimizing the long-term economic impact of crises.

Ensuring macroeconomic stability in the face of recurring economic crises requires a balanced approach that combines market-driven mechanisms with state intervention. While excessive regulation may stifle innovation and economic growth, insufficient oversight can lead to systemic risks and market failures. Therefore, an adaptive regulatory framework that integrates technological advancements, international best practices, and transparent governance is essential for maintaining stability in risky economic sectors.

Risky economic sectors are particularly vulnerable to crises, which manifest in financial instability, rising unemployment, currency devaluation, and budget deficits. The main causes of crises can be classified into external (global economic downturns, financial crises, political instability) and internal (inefficient management, corruption, structural economic imbalances).

Ensuring macroeconomic stability requires effective state regulation, which includes the use of tax, financial, institutional, and administrative tools to mitigate the negative effects of crisis processes.

State regulation of the economy has evolved through different historical periods, influenced by shifts in economic thought and global crises. In early economic systems, classical liberalism, as advocated by A. Smith, emphasized minimal state intervention, believing that free-market forces would naturally balance economic activities. However, the Great Depression demonstrated the limitations of laissez-faire policies, leading to the rise of Keynesian economics, where J. Keynes [5] proposed active government intervention to stabilize the economy, particularly during downturns.

In contemporary practice, state regulation varies across countries, reflecting different political and economic philosophies. The **liberal approach**, predominant in the USA and UK, limits government interference, relying on competition and market dynamics to self-correct inefficiencies. While fostering innovation and economic dynamism, this approach may struggle to address market failures and financial crises effectively.

The **mixed model**, adopted by Germany and France, integrates market mechanisms with structured state oversight. This system aims to provide flexibility while ensuring economic stability through regulatory frameworks, public-private partnerships, and targeted state interventions. By implementing industry-specific controls, these countries maintain market efficiency without excessive government interference.

In contrast, **strict regulation**, as seen in China and South Korea, involves comprehensive state control over strategic sectors, with heavy regulatory frameworks ensuring government oversight of financial markets, corporate activities, and technological development. This model has proven effective in directing rapid economic growth but may limit market competition and flexibility.

An analysis of global regulatory frameworks underscores the need for a **balanced approach** that blends government intervention with marketdriven policies. Countries that successfully integrate regulatory oversight with market flexibility tend to demonstrate greater economic resilience, ensuring stability while fostering long-term growth.

An analysis of the experience of developed countries indicates the necessity of balancing market self-regulation and government intervention to achieve economic resilience.

The institutional framework for regulating risky economic sectors involves a comprehensive system of governance that ensures compliance, stability, and sustainable growth. The effectiveness of state regulation depends on well-structured institutions capable of enforcing policies, preventing financial crimes, and maintaining macroeconomic equilibrium. Several key components contribute to a strong regulatory framework.

One of the fundamental elements is the establishment of independent regulatory bodies that operate without political influence. These institutions oversee market activities, issue licenses, monitor financial transactions, and ensure adherence to legal and ethical standards. The presence of autonomous regulatory authorities strengthens investor confidence, reduces corruption, and enhances the credibility of national economic policies.

State control mechanisms, such as licensing, accreditation, and continuous monitoring, play a crucial role in mitigating risks associated with volatile economic sectors. Licensing ensures that only qualified entities operate in high-risk industries, while accreditation sets performance benchmarks that businesses must meet to maintain operational legitimacy. Continuous monitoring, facilitated by both traditional audits and digital tracking systems, allows regulatory agencies to detect anomalies, prevent financial fraud, and enforce corrective measures in a timely manner.

The adoption of international regulatory standards is another essential aspect of institutional effectiveness. Countries that align their regulatory practices with globally recognized frameworks benefit from improved financial transparency, better risk management, and increased foreign direct investment. International organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the World Bank provide guidelines for effective regulation that national governments can adapt to their economic contexts.

Digital transformation is revolutionizing the way risky economic sectors are regulated. The integration of big data analytics, artificial intelligence (AI), and blockchain technology has significantly improved the accuracy, efficiency, and transparency of financial oversight. AI-driven algorithms can identify fraudulent transactions, predict market trends, and automate compliance processes, reducing the burden on human regulators. Blockchain technology ensures secure and tamper-proof financial transactions, minimizing the risks of corruption and data manipulation.

Furthermore, digital regulatory sandboxes have emerged as a modern tool for testing new financial products and services in a controlled environment. These sandboxes allow businesses to experiment with innovative solutions while being closely monitored by regulators, ensuring compliance without stifling technological advancements.

A well-structured institutional framework requires a balance between stringent regulations and economic flexibility. Overregulation can hinder business development, while insufficient oversight can lead to financial crises and market failures. Therefore, a dynamic regulatory system that evolves with economic changes and technological advancements is essential for managing risks in high-volatility sectors.

**Conclusion.** State regulation of risky economic sectors plays a pivotal role in ensuring economic stability and mitigating systemic risks. The study highlights that effective regulatory mechanisms must strike a balance between state intervention and market self-regulation. Countries with well-established oversight structures tend to demonstrate greater resilience to economic downturns and financial crises.

A comparative analysis of global practices shows that regulatory frameworks should be tailored to specific national conditions while integrating internationally recognized standards. The adaptation of regulatory policies in Ukraine requires a flexible and comprehensive approach that considers both historical experiences and emerging economic trends. The adoption of digital technologies, including blockchain and AI-driven monitoring systems, is particularly crucial in modernizing regulatory frameworks and enhancing transparency.

Furthermore, ensuring macroeconomic stability necessitates the establishment of independent regulatory bodies that can function without political interference. Licensing, accreditation, and financial monitoring must be strengthened to prevent corruption, illicit financial flows, and shadow economic activities. In addition, fostering public-private partnerships will allow for a more dynamic regulatory approach, where businesses actively participate in shaping compliance practices.

Another key consideration is the role of crisis management tools in mitigating financial instability. Regulatory sandboxes, tax incentives, and emergency economic measures should be integrated into national policies to provide responsive solutions during periods of volatility. Moreover, global cooperation and knowledge exchange between regulators can enhance best practices and improve policy outcomes.

Looking ahead, further research should focus on refining adaptive regulatory models, assessing the impact of digitalization on economic oversight, and evaluating the long-term effectiveness of policy interventions. By fostering a more robust and transparent regulatory system, countries can create a safer economic environment, mitigate risks, and drive sustainable growth.

State regulation of risky economic sectors is a crucial element in ensuring economic stability. An analysis of international experience demonstrates that an effective combination of state control and market mechanisms minimizes risks and fosters economic growth. Ukraine should adopt an adaptive regulatory model that considers modern challenges and ensures transparency in economic activities.

Future research directions should focus on developing crisis management models for specific economic sectors, analyzing the effectiveness of digital technologies in regulation, and assessing the impact of regulatory measures on economic growth.

## **References:**

- 1. EGBA. EGBA Highlights Key Achievements And Latest Members Data In New Annual Activity Report. URL: https://www.egba.eu/resource-post/regulated-online-gambling-market-2019-2026e/ (accessed 20.02.2025)
- 2. European Gaming and Betting Association. URL: https://www.egba.eu/resource-post/regulated-online-gamblingmarket-2019-2026e/ (accessed 20.02.2025)
- 3. Ferri G. (2003). Joseph E. Stiglitz (2002) Globalization and Its Discontents. *Economic Notes*. № 32. P. 123–142. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0391-5026.2003.00107.x
- 4. JUMIO. Online Gambling Regulations and Trends in 2024. URL: https://www.jumio.com/gaming-report/regulatorytrends-around-the-globe/ (accessed 20.02.2025)
- 5. Keynes J.M. (1936) The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money. London: Macmillan. URL: https://www.jstor.org/stable/2549064?origin=crossref (accessed 20.02.2025)
- 6. Smith A. (1776) An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations. London: W. Strahan and T. Cadell. URL: https://www.gutenberg.org/files/3300/3300-h/3300-h.htm?utm\_source=chatgpt.com (accessed 20.02.2025)
- 7. The National Council on Problem Gambling. Internet Responsible Gambling Standards. URL: https://www. ncpgambling.org/responsible-gambling/internet-standards/ (accessed 06.11.2024)

## **ДЕРЖАВНЕ РЕГУЛЮВАННЯ РИЗИКОВИХ СЕКТОРІВ ЕКОНОМІКИ У КОНТЕКСТІ ЕКОНОМІЧНОЇ СТАБІЛЬНОСТІ ТА ЗРОСТАННЯ**

Анотація. Державне регулювання ризикових секторів економіки є важливим інструментом забезпечення макроекономічної стабільності, запобігання кризам та стимулювання сталого розвитку. У статті досліджено теоретичні та методологічні засади державного управління цими секторами, а також проаналізовано світові регуляторні практики та їхню адаптацію до економічних реалій України. Визначено основні проблеми, що ускладнюють ефективне регулювання, серед яких низька прозорість, недостатній рівень цифровізації та слабка взаємодія держави з приватним сектором. Особлива увага приділяється використанню цифрових технологій, таких як штучний інтелект, блокчейн, великі дані, що дозволяють автоматизувати процеси моніторингу, зменшити рівень шахрайства та підвищити ефективність державного контролю. Обгрунтовано, що незалежні регуляторні органи є ключовим елементом ефективної політики у сфері нагляду за ризиковими секторами, оскільки забезпечують контроль за дотриманням міжнародних стандартів, запобігають корупційним ризикам і сприяють фінансовій прозорості. Досліджено вплив глобальних криз на механізми регулювання та обгрунтовано необхідність створення гнучких адаптивних моделей регулювання, що можуть швидко реагувати на виклики та змінюватися відповідно до поточних економічних умов. Запропоновано концептуальну модель регулювання, що передбачає баланс між державним контролем і ринковими механізмами, використання стимулюючих податкових механізмів, розвиток державно-приватного партнерства та формування стратегій швидкого реагування на кризові явища. Також проаналізовано роль фінансових регуляторів у зміцненні економічної безпеки та підвищенні рівня довіри до економічної політики держави. Важливим аспектом дослідження є вивчення взаємозв'язку між ефективним регулюванням ризикових секторів та залученням іноземних інвестицій, що може стати основою для довгострокового економічного розвитку. Подальші дослідження мають зосередитися на оцінці ефективності регуляторних ініціатив, аналізі їхнього впливу на ринок та розробці нових інструментів економічного контролю.

Ключові слова: державне регулювання, ризикові сектори, економічна криза, антикризове управління, детінізація, макроекономічна стабільність.